EXHIBIT J



O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

BEIJING
BRUSSELS
CENTURY CITY
HONG KONG
JAKARTA+
LONDON

LOS ANGELES

Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3823 TELEPHONE (415) 984-8700

TELEPHONE (415) 984-8700 FACSIMILE (415) 984-8701 www.omm.com NEWPORT BEACH
NEW YORK
SHANGHAI
SILICON VALLEY
SINGAPORE
TOKYO
WASHINGTON, D.C.

May 22, 2012

OUR FILE NUMBER 027559-00231

BY EMAIL

writer's direct dial (415) 984-8979

Daniel J. Walker Berger & Montague, P.C. 1622 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-6305 dwalker@bm.net

writer's e-mail address cjbrown@omm.com

Re: In re High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation
Master Docket No. 11-cv-2509-LHK (N.D. Cal.)

Dear Dan:

I write in response to your letter of May 15, 2012, regarding data produced by Apple Inc. in the above-captioned matter. As you know, Apple produced employee-level personnel data, including compensation data, from its Merlin HR database on May 3, 2012 (*see* files numbered 231APPLE004236 through 231APPLE004239). Apple also produced data regarding employee stock options from its third-party Equity Edge database, along with recruiting and candidate-level data from its third-party Virtual Edge database, on May 9, 2012 (*see* files numbered 231APPLE006199 through 231APPLE006205). Gathering this data, getting it ready for production, and resolving the related employee privacy issues was a significant undertaking, and we and Apple personnel worked hard to meet the Court's deadline. With the May 9 productions, Apple's production of data was complete.

As we agreed with Dean Harvey prior to Apple's data production, the employee-level personnel data from the Merlin HR database was produced on a quarterly basis for all employees (231APPLE004236), with a separate set of data produced for employees whose employment was voluntarily or involuntarily terminated (231APPLE004237).

We are looking into the specific questions regarding the data fields and data values that you attached to your letter and will provide a written response as soon as possible. Given the specific nature of your questions, we believe that a letter will be more efficient than having a teleconference or a 30(b)(6) deposition.

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Daniel Walker, May 22, 2012 - Page 2

Please feel free to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,

Christina Brown

for O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

cc: Dean Harvey